

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JASON HARRIMAN,

Defendant.

No. 10-CR-23-LRR

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury:

The instructions I gave you at the beginning of the trial and during the trial remain in effect. I will now give you some additional instructions.

You must, of course, continue to follow the instructions I gave you earlier, as well as those I give you now. You must not single out some instructions and ignore others, because all are important. This is true even though some of those I gave you at the beginning of and during trial are not repeated here.

The instructions I am about to give you now are in writing and will be available to you in the jury room. I emphasize, however, that this does not mean they are more important than my earlier instructions. Again, all instructions, whenever given and whether in writing or not, must be followed.

INSTRUCTION NO. 1

In considering these instructions, attach no importance or significance whatsoever to the order in which they are given.

INSTRUCTION NO. 2

Neither in these instructions nor in any ruling, action or remark that I have made during this trial have I intended to give any opinion or suggestion as to what the facts are or what your verdicts should be.

INSTRUCTION NO. 3

It is your duty to find from the evidence what the facts are. You will then apply the law, as I give it to you, to those facts. You must follow my instructions on the law, even if you thought the law was different or should be different.

Do not allow sympathy or prejudice to influence you. The law demands of you just verdicts, unaffected by anything except the evidence, your common sense and the law as I give it to you.

INSTRUCTION NO. 4

I have mentioned the word “evidence.” The “evidence” in this case consists of the following: the testimony of the witnesses, including the defendant, documents and other things received as exhibits and facts that have been stipulated—that is, formally agreed to by the parties.

You may use reason and common sense to draw deductions or conclusions from facts which have been established by the evidence in the case.

Certain things are not evidence. I shall list those things again for you now:

1. Statements, arguments, questions and comments by the lawyers and the defendant are not evidence, with the exception of the defendant’s testimony.
2. Anything that might have been said by jurors, the attorneys, the defendant or the judge during the jury selection process is not evidence.
3. Objections are not evidence. The parties have a right to object when they believe something is improper. You should not be influenced by the objection. If I sustained an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not try to guess what the answer might have been.
4. Testimony that I struck from the record, or told you to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered.
5. Anything you saw or heard about this case outside the courtroom is not evidence.

If you were instructed that some evidence was received for a limited purpose only, you must follow that instruction. During the trial, documents were referred to but they were not admitted into evidence and, therefore, they will not be available to you in the jury room during deliberations.

INSTRUCTION NO. 5

The government and the defendant have stipulated—that is, they have agreed—that certain facts are as counsel and the defendant have stated. You must therefore treat those facts as having been proved.

INSTRUCTION NO. 6

There are two types of evidence from which a jury may properly find the truth as to the facts of a case: direct evidence and circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is the evidence of the witness to a fact or facts of which they have knowledge by means of their senses. The other is circumstantial evidence—the proof of a chain of circumstances pointing to the existence or nonexistence of certain facts. The law makes no distinction between direct and circumstantial evidence. You should give all evidence the weight and value you believe it is entitled to receive.

INSTRUCTION NO. 7

The jurors are the sole judges of the weight and credibility of the testimony and the value to be given to the testimony of each witness who has testified in this case. In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe all of what a witness said, or only part of it or none of it.

In deciding what testimony to believe, consider the witness's intelligence, the opportunity the witness had to have seen or heard the things testified about, the witness's memory, any motives that witness may have for testifying a certain way, the manner of the witness while testifying, whether that witness said something different at an earlier time, the general reasonableness of the testimony and the extent to which the testimony is consistent with any evidence that you believe.

In deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes hear or see things differently and sometimes forget things. You need to consider, therefore, whether a contradiction is an innocent misrecollection or lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or only a small detail.

You should judge the testimony of the defendant in the same manner as you judge the testimony of any other witness.

INSTRUCTION NO. 8

You have heard testimony from persons described as experts. A person who, by knowledge, skill, training, education or experience, has become an expert in some field may state his opinions on matters in that field and may also state the reasons for his opinions.

Expert testimony should be considered just like any other testimony. You may accept it or reject it, and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness's education and experience, the soundness of the reasons given for the opinion, the acceptability of the methods used and all the other evidence in the case.

INSTRUCTION NO. 9

In a previous instruction, I instructed you generally on the credibility of witnesses. I now give you this further instruction on how the credibility of a witness can be “impeached” and how you are to consider the testimony of certain witnesses.

A witness may be discredited or impeached by contradictory evidence; by showing that the witness testified falsely concerning a material matter; by showing the witness has a motive to be untruthful; or by evidence that at some other time the witness has said or done something, or has failed to say or do something, that is inconsistent with the witness’s present testimony.

INSTRUCTION NO. 10

Exhibits have been admitted into evidence and are to be considered along with all of the other evidence to assist you in reaching your verdicts. You are not to tamper with the exhibits or their contents, and each exhibit should be returned into open court, along with your verdicts, in the same condition as it was received by you.

INSTRUCTION NO. 11

You have heard audio recordings of conversations. These conversations were legally recorded, and you may consider the recordings just like any other evidence.

There are typewritten transcripts of the audio recordings I just mentioned. The transcripts undertake to identify the speakers engaged in the conversations.

You were permitted to have the transcripts for the limited purpose of helping you follow the conversations as you listened to the audio recordings, and also to help you keep track of the speakers. Differences in meaning between what you heard in the recordings and read in the transcripts may be caused by such things as the inflection in a speaker's voice. It is what you heard, however, and not what you read, that is the evidence.

You are specifically instructed that whether the transcripts correctly or incorrectly reflected the conversations or the identity of the speakers is entirely for you to decide based upon what you have heard here about the preparation of the transcripts, and upon your own examination of the transcripts in relation to what you heard on the audio recordings. If you decide that any of the transcripts are in any respect incorrect or unreliable, you should disregard them to that extent.

INSTRUCTION NO. 12

The Indictment in this case charges the defendant with two separate crimes.

Under Count 1, the Indictment charges that, on or about September 28, 2009, in the Northern District of Iowa, the defendant knowingly possessed in and affecting commerce a firearm after having previously been convicted of a felony, that is, a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

Under Count 2, the Indictment charges that, on or about October 25, 2009, in the Northern District of Iowa, the defendant knowingly possessed in and affecting commerce a firearm after having previously been convicted of a felony, that is, a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

The defendant has pleaded not guilty to both Counts.

As I told you at the beginning of the trial, an indictment is simply an accusation. It is not evidence of anything. To the contrary, the defendant is presumed to be innocent. Thus the defendant, even though charged, begins trial with no evidence against him. The presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to find the defendant not guilty and can be overcome only if the government proves, beyond a reasonable doubt, each element of the crimes charged.

Keep in mind that each count charges a separate crime. You must consider each count separately and return a separate verdict for each count.

There is no burden upon the defendant to prove that he is innocent.

INSTRUCTION NO. 13

The crime of being a felon in possession of a firearm, as charged in Count 1 of the Indictment, has three essential elements, which are:

One, the defendant had been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year;

Two, the defendant thereafter knowingly possessed a firearm, that is, a Ruger 10/22 .22 caliber rifle, serial number 35346381; and

Three, the firearm was transported across a state line at some time before the defendant possessed it.

You are instructed that the government and the defendant have stipulated, that is, agreed that the defendant had been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for more than one year under the laws of Iowa, and you must consider the first element as proven.

You are instructed that the government and the defendant have stipulated, that is agreed, that the firearms described in both Counts of the Indictment are firearms and were transported across a state line, and you must consider the third element as proven.

If all of these elements have been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant guilty of the crime charged in Count 1 of the Indictment; otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

INSTRUCTION NO. 14

The crime of being a felon in possession of a firearm, as charged in Count 2 of the Indictment, has three essential elements, which are:

One, the defendant had been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year;

Two, the defendant thereafter knowingly possessed a firearm, that is, a Glock model 22 .40 caliber pistol, serial number RF733US; and

Three, the firearm was transported across a state line at some time before the defendant possessed it.

You are instructed that the government and the defendant have stipulated, that is, agreed that the defendant had been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for more than one year under the laws of Iowa, and you must consider the first element as proven.

You are instructed that the government and the defendant have stipulated, that is agreed, that the firearms described in both Counts of the Indictment are firearms and were transported across a state line, and you must consider the third element as proven.

If all of these elements have been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant guilty of the crime charged in Count 2 of the Indictment; otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

INSTRUCTION NO. 15

It is not necessary for the government to prove that the defendant knew that the firearms charged in the Indictment had traveled in interstate commerce, that he personally transported the firearms in interstate commerce or that he intended to violate a particular statute. Likewise, it is not necessary for the government to prove that the defendant knew that it was illegal to have the firearms in his possession within the meaning of the law. Nor is it necessary for the government to prove who owned the firearms at any time. The statutes speak in terms of possession, not ownership.

INSTRUCTION NO. 16

The government is not required to prove that the defendant knew that his acts or omissions were unlawful. An act is done knowingly if the defendant is aware of the act and does not act through ignorance, mistake or accident. You may consider evidence of the defendant's words, acts or omissions, along with all the other evidence, in deciding whether the defendant acted knowingly.

INSTRUCTION NO. 17

The law recognizes several kinds of possession. A person may have actual possession or constructive possession. A person may have sole or joint possession.

A person who knowingly has direct physical control over a thing, at a given time, is then in actual possession of it.

A person who, although not in actual possession, has both the power and the intention at a given time to exercise dominion or control over a thing, either directly or through another person or persons, is then in constructive possession of it.

If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is sole. If two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is joint.

Whenever the word "possession" has been used in these instructions it includes actual as well as constructive possession and also sole as well as joint possession.

INSTRUCTION NO. 18

A reasonable doubt is a doubt based upon reason and common sense, and not the mere possibility of innocence. A reasonable doubt is the kind of doubt that would make a reasonable person hesitate to act. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, must be proof of such a convincing character that a reasonable person would not hesitate to rely and act upon it. However, proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt.

INSTRUCTION NO. 19

You will note that the Indictment charges that the offenses were committed “on or about” a certain date. The government need not prove with certainty the exact date or the exact time period of the offenses charged. It is sufficient if the evidence establishes that the offenses occurred within a reasonable time of the date or period of time alleged in the Indictment.

INSTRUCTION NO. 20

Throughout the trial, you have been permitted to take notes. Your notes should be used only as memory aids, and you should not give your notes precedence over your independent recollection of the evidence.

In any conflict between your notes, a fellow juror's notes and your memory, your memory must prevail. Remember that notes sometimes contain the mental impressions of the note taker and can be used only to help you recollect what the testimony was. At the conclusion of your deliberations, your notes should be left in the jury room for destruction.

INSTRUCTION NO. 21

In conducting your deliberations and returning your verdicts, there are certain rules you must follow. I shall list those rules for you now.

First, when you go to the jury room, you must select one of your members as your foreperson. That person will preside over your discussions and speak for you here in court.

Second, it is your duty, as jurors, to discuss this case with one another in the jury room. You should try to reach an agreement if you can do so without violence to individual judgment, because your verdicts—whether guilty or not guilty—must be unanimous.

Each of you must make your own conscientious decisions, but only after you have considered all the evidence, discussed it fully with your fellow jurors and listened to the views of your fellow jurors.

Do not be afraid to change your opinions if the discussion persuades you that you should. But do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right or simply to reach a verdict.

Third, if the defendant is found guilty, the sentence to be imposed is my responsibility. You may not consider punishment in any way in deciding whether the government has proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

Fourth, if you need to communicate with me during your deliberations, you may send a note to me through the Court Security Officer, signed by one or more jurors. I will respond as soon as possible either in writing or orally in open court. Remember that you should not tell anyone—including me—how your votes stand numerically.

(CONTINUED)

INSTRUCTION NO. 21 (Cont'd)

Fifth, your verdicts must be based solely on the evidence and on the law which I have given to you in my instructions. The verdicts, whether guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous. Nothing I have said or done is intended to suggest what your verdicts might be—that is entirely for you to decide.

INSTRUCTION NO. 22

Attached to these instructions you will find two Verdict Forms. The Verdict Forms are simply the written notices of the decisions that you reach in this case. The answers to the Verdict Forms must be the unanimous decisions of the jury.

You will take the Verdict Forms to the jury room, and when you have completed your deliberations and each of you has agreed to the answers to the Verdict Forms, your foreperson will fill out the Verdict Forms, sign and date them and advise the Court Security Officer that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

Finally, members of the jury, take this case and give it your most careful consideration, and then without fear or favor, prejudice or bias of any kind, return the Verdict Forms in accord with the evidence and these instructions.

January 6, 2011
Date

Linda R. Reade
Linda R. Reade, Chief Judge
United States District Court
Northern District of Iowa