

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MILO VAREEN DAVIS,

Defendant.

No. 10-CR-41-LRR

VERDICT FORM

COUNT 1

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, Milo Vareen Davis,
Guilty of the crime charged in Count 1 of the Indictment.
Not Guilty/Guilty

NOTE: If you unanimously found the defendant not guilty of the above crime, have your foreperson write "not guilty" in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form. Then, go on to answer the Verdict Form for Count 2.

If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt found the defendant guilty of the above crime, have your foreperson write "guilty" in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form. Then, go on to answer Interrogatory Form 1.

/s/

FOREPERSON

U

May 26, 2011
DATE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MILO VAREEN DAVIS,

Defendant.

No. 10-CR-41-LRR

VERDICT FORM

COUNT 2

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, Milo Varen Davis,
Guilty of the crime charged in Count 2 of the Indictment.

Not Guilty/Guilty

/s/

FOREPERSON /

May 26, 2011

DATE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MILO VAREEN DAVIS,

Defendant.

No. 10-CR-41-LRR

INTERROGATORY FORM

COUNT 1

If you found the defendant guilty of the crime charged in Count 1 of the Indictment, please answer the following questions, then have your foreperson sign and date this Interrogatory Form.

QUESTION 1: In the event you unanimously found, beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant guilty of the crime charged in Count 1 of the Indictment, answer this Question by placing a check mark (✓) on all of the following spaces that you found that the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt. We the jury, unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find that the object of the conspiracy was to:

- distribute some quantity of cocaine base
- distribute some quantity of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine
- distribute some quantity of marijuana

/s/
FOREPERSON

May 26, 2011
DATE

QUESTION 2: If you unanimously found, beyond a reasonable doubt, that one of the objects of the conspiracy was to distribute some quantity of cocaine base, answer this Question by placing a check mark (✓) on one of the following spaces. If you found that distribution of cocaine base was not an object of the conspiracy, proceed to the below question. We the jury, unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find that the conspiracy involved:

- 50 grams or more of cocaine base
- More than 5 grams, but less than 50 grams, of cocaine base
- Less than 5 grams of cocaine base

/s/

~~FOR~~PERSON

May 26, 2011

DATE

QUESTION 3: If you unanimously found, beyond a reasonable doubt, that one of the objects of the conspiracy was to distribute some quantity of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, answer this Question by placing a check mark (✓) on one of the following spaces. If you found that distribution of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine was not an object of the conspiracy, proceed to the next verdict form. We the jury, unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find that the conspiracy involved:

- 500 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine
- Less than 500 grams, but more than 50 grams of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine
- Less than 50 grams of mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine

/s/

FOR PERSON

May 26, 2011

DATE