

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA
EASTERN DIVISION**

**FRIEDMAN & FRIEDMAN, LTD.,
an Illinois Corporation,
JEANNE ENDERS,
ARIE S. FRIEDMAN,
GAIL TULER FRIEDMAN, and
EUGENE F. FRIEDMAN,**

**Plaintiffs/Counterclaim
Defendants,**

vs.

**TIM McCANDLESS INC.,
an Iowa Corporation,
PAUL ROLOFF,
SWIETER AIRCRAFT SERVICES,
INC., an Iowa Corporation,
and IRWIN SWIETER,**

**Defendants/Counterclaim
Plaintiff.**

No. C05-2007

**PRELIMINARY JURY
INSTRUCTIONS**

PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTION NO. 1

Ladies and Gentlemen: I will take a few moments now to give you some initial instructions about this case and about your duties as jurors. At the end of the trial I will give you further instructions. I may also give you instructions during the trial. Unless I specifically tell you otherwise, all such instructions - both those I give you now and those I give you later - are equally binding on you and must be followed.

This is a civil case brought by the Plaintiffs, Friedman & Friedman, LTD., Jeanne Enders, Arie S. Friedman, Gail Tuler Friedman, and Eugene F. Friedman against Defendants, Tim McCandless, Inc., Paul Roloff, Swieter Aircraft Services, Inc., and Irvin Swieter. Plaintiffs claim entitlement to recover judgment against Defendants, arising out of the sale of an aircraft. Plaintiffs claim that Defendants breached the contract for the sale of the aircraft by representing that the aircraft would be airworthy, safe, and outfitted with certain accessories and component parts. Plaintiffs further allege that Defendants conspired together to defraud and breach the contract with them, deprived them of the promised accessories and component parts, and violated the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Practices Act. Defendants deny all of Plaintiffs' claims.

Defendants filed a counterclaim against Plaintiffs, and assert that they are entitled to recover judgment against Plaintiffs, arising out of a lawsuit filed in Illinois. Defendants claim that a lawsuit filed by Plaintiffs in Illinois relating to the sale of the aircraft constituted an abuse of process. Plaintiffs deny that the lawsuit filed in Illinois was an abuse of process.

It will be your duty to decide from the evidence whether Plaintiffs are entitled to a verdict against Defendants and whether Defendants are entitled to a verdict against Plaintiffs on their counterclaim. From the evidence you will decide what the facts are. You are entitled to consider that evidence in the light of your own observations and experiences in the affairs of life. You will then apply those facts to the law which I give

(CONTINUED...)

PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTION NUMBER 1 (Cont'd)

you in these and in my other instructions, and in that way reach your verdict. You are the sole judges of the facts; but you must follow the law as stated in my instructions, whether you agree with it or not.

In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe all of what a witness says, or only part of it, or none of it. In deciding what testimony to believe, consider the witnesses' intelligence, their opportunity to have seen or heard the things they testify about, their memories, any motives they may have for testifying a certain way, their manner while testifying, whether they said something different at an earlier time, the general reasonableness of their testimony and the extent to which their testimony is consistent with other evidence that you believe.

Do not allow sympathy or prejudice to influence you. The law demands of you a just verdict, unaffected by anything except the evidence, your common sense, and the law as I give it to you.

You should not take anything I may say or do during the trial as indicating what I think of the evidence or what I think your verdict should be.

PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTION NO. 2

I have mentioned the word "evidence." "Evidence" includes the testimony of witnesses, documents and other things received as exhibits, any facts that have been stipulated - that is, formally agreed to by the parties, and any facts that have been judicially noticed - that is facts which I say you must accept as true.

Certain things are not evidence. I will list those things for you now:

1. Statements, arguments, questions and comments by lawyers are not evidence.
2. Exhibits that are identified by a party but not offered or received in evidence are not evidence.
3. Objections are not evidence. Lawyers have a right and sometimes an obligation to object when they believe something is improper. You should not be influenced by the objection. If I sustain an objection to a question or an exhibit, you must ignore the question or the exhibit and must not try to guess what the information might have been.
4. Testimony and exhibits that I strike from the record, or tell you to disregard, are not evidence and must not be considered.
5. Anything you see or hear about this case outside the courtroom is not evidence.

Furthermore, a particular item of evidence is sometimes received for a limited purpose only. That is, it can be used by you only for one particular purpose, and not for any other purpose. I will tell you when that occurs, and instruct you on the purposes for which the item can and cannot be used.

Some of you may have heard the terms "direct evidence" and "circumstantial evidence." You are instructed that you should not be concerned with those terms, since the law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to direct and circumstantial

(CONTINUED...)

PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTION NUMBER 2 (Cont'd)

evidence. You should give all evidence the weight and value you believe it is entitled to receive.

PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTION NO. 3

During the trial it may be necessary for me to speak with the lawyers out of your hearing, either by having a bench conference here while you are present in the courtroom, or by calling a recess. Please understand that while you are waiting, we are working. The purpose of these conferences is to decide how certain evidence is to be treated under the rules of evidence which govern the trial, and to avoid confusion and error. We will, of course, do what we can to keep the number and length of these conferences to a minimum.

PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTION NO. 4

At the end of the trial you must make your decision based on what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a written transcript to consult. You must pay close attention to the testimony as it is given.

If you wish, however, you may take notes to help you remember what witnesses said. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. And do not let note-taking distract you so that you do not hear other answers by the witness. The Clerk will provide each of you with a pad of paper and a pen or pencil. At each recess, leave them in the jury room.

When you leave at night, your notes will be secured and not read by anyone. At the conclusion of the case, the notes will be destroyed.

PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTION NO. 5

To ensure fairness, you as jurors must obey the following rules:

First, do not talk among yourselves about this case, or about anyone involved with it, until the end of the case when you go to the jury room to decide on your verdict.

Second, do not talk with anyone else about this case, or about anyone involved with it, until the trial has ended and you have been discharged as jurors.

Third, when you are outside the courtroom, do not let anyone tell you anything about the case, or about anyone involved with it, until the trial has ended and your verdict has been accepted by me. If someone should try to talk to you about the case during the trial, please report it to me.

Fourth, during the trial you should not talk with or speak to any of the parties, lawyers or witnesses involved in this case - you should not even pass the time of day with any of them. It is important not only that you do justice in this case, but that you also give the appearance of doing justice. If a person from one side of the lawsuit sees you talking to a person from the other side - even if it is simply to pass the time of day - an unwarranted and unnecessary suspicion about your fairness might be aroused. If any lawyer, party or witness does not speak to you when you pass in the hall, ride the elevator or the like, remember it is because they are not supposed to talk or visit with you either.

Fifth, do not read any news stories or articles about the case, or about anyone involved with it, or listen to any radio, television or Internet reports about the case or about anyone involved with it.

Sixth, do not do any research or make any investigation on your own about any matter involved in this case. By way of examples, that means you must not consult a dictionary, textbook, encyclopedia, talk with a person you consider knowledgeable, or go to the Internet for information about some issue or person in this case. In fairness, learn

(CONTINUED...)

INSTRUCTION NUMBER 5 (Cont'd)

about this case from the evidence you receive here at the trial and apply it to the law as I give it to you.

Seventh, cell phones are not permitted in the jury room during deliberation.

Eighth, do not make up your mind during the trial about what the verdict should be. Keep an open mind until after you have gone to the jury room to decide the case and you and your fellow jurors have discussed the evidence.

PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTION NO. 6

The trial will proceed in the following manner:

First, Plaintiffs' attorney may make an opening statement. Next, Defendants' attorney may make an opening statement. An opening statement is not evidence but is simply a summary of what the attorney expects the evidence to be.

Plaintiffs will then present evidence and counsel for Defendants may cross-examine. Following Plaintiffs' case, Defendants may present evidence and Plaintiffs' counsel may cross-examine.

After presentation of evidence is completed, the attorneys will make their closing arguments to summarize and interpret the evidence for you. As with opening statements, closing arguments are not evidence. The court will instruct you further on the law. After that you will retire to deliberate on your verdict.