

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BRADLEY JOSEPH LEYSE,

Defendant.

No. 06-CR-42-LRR

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury:

The instructions I gave you at the beginning of the trial and during the trial remain in effect. I will now give you some additional instructions.

You must, of course, continue to follow the instructions I gave you earlier, as well as those I give you now. You must not single out some instructions and ignore others, because all are important. This is true even though some of those I gave you at the beginning of and during trial are not repeated here.

The instructions I am about to give you now are in writing and will be available to you in the jury room. I emphasize, however, that this does not mean they are more important than my earlier instructions. Again, all instructions, whenever given and whether in writing or not, must be followed.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

In considering these instructions, attach no importance or significance whatsoever to the order in which they are given.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

Neither in these instructions nor in any ruling, action or remark that I have made during this trial have I intended to give any opinion or suggestion as to what the facts are or what your verdict should be.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

It is your duty to find from the evidence what the facts are. You will then apply the law, as I give it to you, to those facts. You must follow my instructions on the law, even if you thought the law was different or should be different.

Do not allow sympathy or prejudice to influence you. The law demands of you a just verdict, unaffected by anything except the evidence, your common sense and the law as I give it to you.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

I have mentioned the word “evidence.” The “evidence” in this case consists of the following: the testimony of the witnesses and the documents and other things received as exhibits.

You may use reason and common sense to draw deductions or conclusions from facts which have been established by the evidence in the case.

Certain things are not evidence. I shall list those things again for you now:

1. Anything that might have been said by jurors or the attorneys during the jury selection process is not evidence.
2. Statements, arguments, questions and comments by the lawyers are not evidence.
3. Objections are not evidence. The parties have a right to object when they believe something is improper. You should not be influenced by the objection. If I sustained an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not try to guess what the answer might have been.
4. Testimony that I struck from the record, or told you to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered.
5. Anything you saw or heard about this case outside the courtroom is not evidence.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

There are two types of evidence from which a jury may properly find the truth as to the facts of a case: direct evidence and circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is the evidence of the witnesses to a fact or facts of which they have knowledge by means of their senses. The other is circumstantial evidence—the proof of a chain of circumstances pointing to the existence or nonexistence of certain facts. The law makes no distinction between direct and circumstantial evidence. You should give all evidence the weight and value you believe it is entitled to receive.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

The jurors are the sole judges of the weight and credibility of the testimony and the value to be given to each witness who has testified in this case. In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe all of what a witness said, or only part of it, or none of it.

In deciding what testimony to believe, consider the witness's intelligence, the opportunity the witness had to have seen or heard the things testified about, the witness's memory, any motives that witness may have for testifying a certain way, the manner of the witness while testifying, whether that witness said something different at an earlier time, the general reasonableness of the testimony and the extent to which the testimony is consistent with any evidence that you believe.

In deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes hear or see things differently and sometimes forget things. You need to consider, therefore, whether a contradiction is an innocent misrecollection or lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or only a small detail.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

In a previous instruction, I instructed you generally on the credibility of witnesses. I now give you this further instruction on how the credibility of a witness can be “impeached” and how you are to consider the testimony of certain witnesses.

A witness may be discredited or impeached by contradictory evidence; by showing that the witness testified falsely concerning a material matter; by showing the witness has a motive to be untruthful; or by evidence that at some other time the witness has said or done something, or has failed to say or do something, that is inconsistent with the witness’s present testimony.

James Uhde testified that he participated in the crime charged against the defendant. James Uhde could receive a reduced sentence in a criminal case in return for his cooperation with the prosecution in this case. James Uhde entered into an agreement with the United States Attorney’s Office that provided that, if he provides substantial assistance to the government in its investigation of crimes, the prosecutor could file a motion for a reduction of his sentence. The judge has no power to reduce a sentence for substantial assistance unless the government, acting through the United States Attorney, files such a motion. If such a motion for reduction of sentence for substantial assistance is filed by the government, then it is up to the judge to decide whether to reduce the sentence at all, and if so, how much to reduce it. You may give James Uhde’s testimony such weight as you think it deserves. Whether or not his testimony may have been influenced by his hope of receiving a reduced sentence is for you to decide.

(CONTINUED)

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____ (Cont'd)

You have heard evidence that certain witnesses were once convicted of crimes. You may use that evidence only to help you decide whether to believe that witness and how much weight to give his or her testimony.

You have heard evidence that witness James Uhde has pleaded guilty to a crime which arose out of the same events for which the defendant is on trial here. You must not consider the witness James Uhde's guilty plea as any evidence of the defendant's guilt. You may consider witness James Uhde's guilty plea only for the purpose of determining how much, if at all, to rely upon James Uhde's testimony.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

You have heard testimony from a person described as an expert. A person who, by knowledge, skill, training, education or experience, has become an expert in some field may state his opinions on matters in that field and may also state the reasons for his opinion.

Expert testimony should be considered just like any other testimony. You may accept or reject it, and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness's education and experience, the soundness of the reasons given for the opinion, the acceptability of the methods used and all the other evidence in the case.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

You have heard evidence that the defendant has been involved with cocaine and other controlled substances at times other than those charged in the Indictment. Remember, even if you find the defendant may have committed other acts in the past, this is not evidence that he committed such an act in this case. You may not convict a person simply because you believe he may have committed other acts in the past. The defendant is on trial only for the crime charged, and not for these past acts.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

Exhibits have been admitted into evidence and are to be considered along with all of the other evidence to assist you in reaching your verdict. You are not to tamper with the exhibits or their contents, and each exhibit should be returned into open court, along with your verdict, in the same condition as it was received by you.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

The Indictment in this case charges the defendant with one offense. Under Count 1, the Indictment charges that the defendant knowingly and intentionally distributed cocaine, a Schedule II controlled substance. The defendant has pleaded not guilty to this charge.

As I told you at the beginning of trial, an Indictment is simply an accusation. It is not evidence of anything. To the contrary, the defendant is presumed to be innocent. Thus the defendant, even though charged, begins the trial with no evidence against him. The presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to find a defendant not guilty and can be overcome only if the government proves, beyond a reasonable doubt, each essential element of the crime charged.

There is no burden upon the defendant to prove that he is innocent. Accordingly, the fact that the defendant did not testify must not be considered by you in any way, or even discussed, in arriving at your verdict.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

Count 1 of the Indictment charges the defendant with distributing cocaine. The offense of distributing cocaine has two essential elements, which are:

One, on or about October 30, 2005, the defendant intentionally transferred cocaine to another person; and

Two, at the time of the transfer, the defendant knew that it was cocaine.

To find the defendant guilty of distributing cocaine, the government must prove these two essential elements beyond a reasonable doubt. If the government failed to prove either essential element beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant not guilty of distributing cocaine as charged in Count 1 of the Indictment.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

You should understand that merely being present at the traffic stop on October 30, 2005, or merely associating with others at that traffic stop, is not enough to support a conviction for distributing cocaine. So, in other words, if you find that someone other than the defendant provided cocaine to Magestic Huggins during the traffic stop on October 30, 2005, the fact that the defendant was merely present at the scene, or associating with the people involved in the traffic stop, does not establish that he distributed cocaine to Magestic Huggins on that date.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

The offense charged in Count 1 involves the distribution of cocaine. The following definition of the term “distribute” applies in these instructions:

The term “distribute” means to deliver a controlled substance to the possession of another person. The term “deliver” means the actual or attempted transfer of a controlled substance to the possession of another person. No consideration for the delivery need exist, and it is not necessary that money or anything of value change hands. The law is directed at the act of “distribution” of a controlled substance and does not concern itself with any need for a “sale” to occur.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

You are instructed as a matter of law that cocaine is a Schedule II controlled substance.

You must ascertain whether or not the substance in question in Count 1 was cocaine. In so doing, you may consider all the evidence in this case which may aid in the determination of that issue.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

You may consider any evidence of flight by the defendant, along with all of the evidence in the case, and you may consider whether this evidence shows a consciousness of guilt and determine the significance to be attached to any such conduct. Whether or not evidence of flight shows a consciousness of guilt and the significance to be attached to any such evidence are matters exclusively within the province of the jury. In your consideration of the evidence of flight, you should consider that there may be reasons for this which are fully consistent with innocence.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

Intent may be proven by circumstantial evidence. It rarely can be established by other means. While witnesses may see or hear and thus be able to give direct evidence of what a person does or fails to do, there can be no eyewitness account of the state of mind with which the acts were done or omitted. But what a defendant does or fails to do may indicate intent or lack of intent to commit an offense.

You may consider it reasonable to draw the inference and find that a person intends the natural and probable consequences of acts knowingly done, but you are not required to do so. As I have previously mentioned, it is entirely up to you to decide what facts to find from the evidence.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

An act is done “knowingly” if the defendant realized what he was doing and did not act through ignorance, mistake or accident. The government is not required to prove that the defendant knew that his acts or omissions were unlawful. You may consider the evidence of the defendant’s acts and words, along with all other evidence, in deciding whether the defendant acted knowingly.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

A reasonable doubt is a doubt based upon reason and common sense, and not the mere possibility of innocence. A reasonable doubt is the kind of doubt that would make a reasonable person hesitate to act. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, must be proof of such a convincing character that a reasonable person would not hesitate to rely and act upon it. However, proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

You will note the Indictment charges that the offense was committed “on or about” a certain date. The government need not prove with certainty the exact date or the exact time period of an offense charged. It is sufficient if the evidence established that an offense occurred within a reasonable time of the date or period of time alleged in the Indictment.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

Throughout the trial, you have been permitted to take notes. Your notes should be used only as memory aids, and you should not give your notes precedence over your independent recollection of the evidence.

In any conflict between your notes, a fellow juror's notes and your memory, your memory must prevail. Remember that notes sometimes contain the mental impressions of the note taker and can be used only to help you recollect what the testimony was. At the conclusion of your deliberations, your notes should be left in the jury room for destruction.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

In conducting your deliberations and returning your verdict, there are certain rules you must follow. I shall list those rules for you now.

First, when you go to the jury room, you must select one of your members as your foreperson. That person will preside over your discussions and speak for you here in court.

Second, it is your duty, as jurors, to discuss this case with one another in the jury room. You should try to reach an agreement if you can do so without violence to individual judgment, because a verdict—whether guilty or not guilty—must be unanimous.

Each of you must make your own conscientious decision, but only after you have considered all the evidence, discussed it fully with your fellow jurors and listened to the views of your fellow jurors.

Do not be afraid to change your opinions if the discussion persuades you that you should. But do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right or simply to reach a verdict.

Third, if the defendant is found guilty, the sentence to be imposed is my responsibility. You may not consider punishment in any way in deciding whether the government has proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

(CONTINUED)

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____ (Cont'd)

Fourth, if you need to communicate with me during your deliberations, you may send a note to me through the marshal or court security officer, signed by one or more jurors. I will respond as soon as possible either in writing or orally in open court. Remember that you should not tell anyone—including me—how your votes stand numerically.

Finally, your verdict must be based solely on the evidence and on the law which I have given to you in my instructions. The verdict, whether guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous. Nothing I have said or done is intended to suggest what your verdict should be—that is entirely for you to decide.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER _____

Attached to these instructions you will find a Verdict Form. This Verdict Form is simply the written notice of the decision that you reach in this case. The answer to the Verdict Form must be the unanimous decision of the jury.

You will take the Verdict Form to the jury room, and when you have completed your deliberations and each of you has agreed on an answer to the Verdict Form, your foreperson will fill out the Verdict Form, sign and date it and advise the marshal or court security officer that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

Finally, members of the jury, take this case and give it your most careful consideration, and then without fear or favor, prejudice or bias of any kind, return such verdict as accords with the evidence and these instructions.

DATE

**LINDA R. READE
JUDGE, U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BRADLEY JOSEPH LEYSE,

Defendant.

No. 06-CR-42-LRR

VERDICT FORM

We, the Jury, unanimously find, beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant, Bradley Joseph Leyse, _____ of the crime of distribution of cocaine, as charged in
Not Guilty/Guilty
Count 1 of the Indictment.

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant guilty of the crime charged in the Indictment, have your foreperson write “guilty” in the above blank space, sign and date this Verdict Form.

If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of the crime charged in the Indictment, have your foreperson write “not guilty” in the above blank space, sign and date this Verdict Form.

FOREPERSON

DATE