

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**JURY INSTRUCTIONS**

---

Members of the Jury:

In the next few moments, I am going to give you instructions about this case and about your duties as jurors. I will also give you additional instructions at a later time. Unless I specifically tell you otherwise, all instructions—both those I give you now and those I give you later—are equally binding on you and must be followed.

The instructions I am about to give you now are in writing and will be available to you in the jury room. In considering these instructions, attach no importance or significance whatsoever to the order in which they are given.

## **INSTRUCTION NO. 1**

This is a criminal case, brought against the defendant by the United States government. The charges are set forth in what is called an Indictment.

Count 1 of the Indictment charges that, on or about November 17, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, defendant Cardel Demetrius Redmond did unlawfully obstruct, delay and affect, and attempt to obstruct, delay and affect, commerce, by robbery, in that the defendant did unlawfully take and obtain personal property consisting of United States currency from the person of an employee of Subway against the employee's will by means of actual and threatened force, violence, and fear of injury, immediate and future, to the employee's person.

Count 2 of the Indictment charges that, on or about November 17, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, defendant Cardel Demetrius Redmond (1) did knowingly use, carry, and brandish a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence for which he may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is interference with commerce by robbery as alleged in Count One of this Indictment, and (2) did knowingly possess and brandish a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence for which he may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is interference with commerce by robbery as alleged in Count One of the Indictment.

Count 3 of the Indictment charges that, on or about November 19, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, defendant Cardel Demetrius Redmond did unlawfully obstruct, delay and affect, and attempt to obstruct, delay and affect, commerce, by robbery, in that the defendant did unlawfully take and obtain personal property consisting of United States currency from the person of an employee of Hy-Vee against the employee's will by means of actual and threatened force, violence, and fear of injury, immediate and future, to the employee's person.

**(CONTINUED)**

**INSTRUCTION NO. 1 (Cont'd)**

Count 4 of the Indictment charges that, on or about November 19, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, defendant Cardel Demetrius Redmond (1) did knowingly use, carry, and brandish a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence for which he may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is interference with commerce by robbery as alleged in Count Three of the Indictment, and (2) did knowingly possess and brandish a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence for which he may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is interference with commerce by robbery as alleged in Count Three of the Indictment.

Count 5 of the Indictment charges that, on or about November 25, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, defendant Cardel Demetrius Redmond did unlawfully obstruct, delay and affect, and attempt to obstruct, delay and affect, commerce, by robbery, in that the defendant did unlawfully take and obtain personal property consisting of United States currency from the person of an employee of Perkins against the employee's will by means of actual and threatened force, violence, and fear of injury, immediate and future, to the employee's person.

Count 6 of the Indictment charges that, on or about November 25, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, defendant Cardel Demetrius Redmond (1) did knowingly use, carry, and brandish a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence for which he may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is interference with commerce by robbery as alleged in Count Five of the Indictment, and (2) did knowingly possess and brandish a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence for which he may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is interference with commerce by robbery as alleged in Count Five of the Indictment.

**(CONTINUED)**

## **INSTRUCTION NO. 1 (Cont'd)**

Count 7 of the Indictment charges that, on or about November 27, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, defendant Cardel Demetrius Redmond did unlawfully obstruct, delay and affect, and attempt to obstruct, delay and affect, commerce, by robbery, in that the defendant did unlawfully take and obtain personal property consisting of United States currency from the person of an employee of Hy-Vee against the employee's will by means of actual and threatened force, violence, and fear of injury, immediate and future, to the employee's person.

Count 8 of the Indictment charges that, on or about November 27, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, defendant Cardel Demetrius Redmond (1) did knowingly use, carry, and brandish a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence for which he may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is interference with commerce by robbery as alleged in Count Seven of the Indictment, and (2) did knowingly possess and brandish a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence for which he may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is interference with commerce by robbery as alleged in Count Seven of the Indictment.

Count 9 of the Indictment charges that, on or about November 27, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, defendant Cardel Demetrius Redmond did unlawfully obstruct, delay and affect, and attempt to obstruct, delay and affect, commerce, by robbery, in that the defendant did unlawfully take and obtain personal property consisting of United States currency from the person of an employee of Little Caesar's against the employee's will by means of actual and threatened force, violence, and fear of injury, immediate and future, to the employee's person.

**(CONTINUED)**

### **INSTRUCTION NO. 1 (Cont'd)**

Count 10 of the Indictment charges that, on or about November 27, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, defendant Cardel Demetrius Redmond (1) did knowingly use, carry, and brandish a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence for which he may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is interference with commerce by robbery as alleged in Count Nine of the Indictment, and (2) did knowingly possess and brandish a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence for which he may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is interference with commerce by robbery as alleged in Count Nine of the Indictment.

Count 11 of the Indictment charges that, on or about November 28, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, defendant Cardel Demetrius Redmond did unlawfully obstruct, delay and affect, and attempt to obstruct, delay and affect, commerce, by robbery, in that the defendant did unlawfully attempt to take and obtain personal property consisting of United States currency from the person of an employee of Hy-Vee against the employee's will by means of actual and threatened force, violence, and fear of injury, immediate and future, to the employee's person.

Count 12 of the Indictment charges that, on or about November 29, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, defendant Cardel Demetrius Redmond did unlawfully obstruct, delay and affect, and attempt to obstruct, delay and affect, commerce, by robbery, in that the defendant did unlawfully take and obtain personal property consisting of United States currency from the person of an employee of Subway against the employee's will by means of actual and threatened force, violence, and fear of injury, immediate and future, to the employee's person.

Count 13 of the Indictment charges that, on or about November 29, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, defendant Cardel Demetrius Redmond (1) did knowingly use, carry, and brandish a firearm during and in relation to a crime of

**(CONTINUED)**

## **INSTRUCTION NO. 1 (Cont'd)**

violence for which he may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is interference with commerce by robbery as alleged in Count Twelve of the Indictment, and (2) did knowingly possess and brandish a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence for which he may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is interference with commerce by robbery as alleged in Count Twelve of the Indictment.

Count 14 of the Indictment charges that, on or about November 19, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, defendant Cardel Demetrius Redmond, knowing he had previously been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year, knowingly possessed a firearm, specifically, a Smith & Wesson Bodyguard 380, .380 caliber pistol, and the firearm was in and affecting commerce.

The defendant has pleaded not guilty to these charges.

You are instructed that the Indictment is simply an accusation. It is not evidence of anything. The defendant has pleaded not guilty and is presumed to be innocent unless and until proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Thus, the defendant begins the trial with a clean slate, with no evidence against him. The presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to find the defendant not guilty and can be overcome only if the government proves during the trial, beyond a reasonable doubt, each element of the crime charged.

There is no burden upon the defendant to prove that he is innocent. Instead, the burden of proof remains on the government throughout the trial. Accordingly, if the defendant does not testify, that fact must not be considered by you in any way, or even discussed, in arriving at your verdict.

## **INSTRUCTION NO. 2**

It will be your duty as jurors to decide from the evidence whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the crimes charged. From the evidence, you will decide what the facts are. You are entitled to consider that evidence in the light of your own observations and experiences in the affairs of life. You may use reason and common sense to draw deductions or conclusions from facts which have been established by the evidence. You will then apply those facts to the law which I give you in my instructions. You are the sole judges of the facts, but you must follow the law as stated in my instructions, whether you agree with it or not.

Do not allow sympathy or prejudice to influence you. The law demands of you just verdict, unaffected by anything except the evidence, your common sense, and the law as I give it to you.

You should not take anything I may say or do during the trial as indicating what I think of the evidence or what I think your verdict should be.

Finally, please remember that only this defendant, not anyone else, is on trial here, and that the defendant is on trial only for the crimes charged, not for anything else.

### INSTRUCTION NO. 3

I have mentioned the word “evidence.” The “evidence” in this case consists of the following: the testimony of the witnesses, the documents and other things received as exhibits, and the facts that have been stipulated to—that is, formally agreed to by the parties.

Certain things are not evidence. I will list those things again for you now:

1. Statements, arguments, questions and comments by the lawyers are not evidence.
2. Anything that might have been said by jurors, the attorneys, or the judge during the jury selection process is not evidence.
3. Objections are not evidence. The parties have a right to object when they believe something is improper. You should not be influenced by the objection. If I sustain an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not try to guess what the answer might have been.
4. Testimony that I strike from the record, or tell you to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered.
5. Anything you see or hear about this case outside the courtroom is not evidence.

During the trial, documents and objects may be referred to but not admitted into evidence. In such a case, these items will not be available to you in the jury room during deliberations.

Furthermore, a particular item of evidence is sometimes received for a limited purpose only. That is, it can be used by you only for one particular purpose, and not for any other purpose. I will tell you if this occurs and instruct you on the purposes for which the item can and cannot be used.



#### **INSTRUCTION NO. 4**

There are two types of evidence from which you may properly find the truth as to the facts of this case: direct evidence and circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is the evidence of the witnesses to a fact or facts of which they have knowledge by means of their senses. The other is circumstantial evidence—the proof of a chain of circumstances pointing to the existence or nonexistence of certain facts. The law makes no distinction between direct and circumstantial evidence. You should give all evidence the weight and value you believe it is entitled to receive.

## **INSTRUCTION NO. 5**

The jurors are the sole judges of the weight and credibility of the testimony, and the value to be given to the testimony, of each witness who testifies in this case. In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe all of what a witness said, or only part of it, or none of it.

In deciding what testimony of any witness to believe, consider the witness' intelligence, the opportunity the witness had to have seen or heard the things testified about, the witness' memory, any motives that witness may have for testifying a certain way, the manner of the witness while testifying, whether that witness said something different at an earlier time, the general reasonableness of the testimony, and the extent to which the testimony is consistent with any evidence that you believe.

In deciding whether to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes hear or see things differently and sometimes forget things. You need to consider, therefore, whether a contradiction is an innocent misrecollection or lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or only a small detail.

If the defendant chooses to testify, you should judge that testimony in the same manner as you judge the testimony of any other witness.

## **INSTRUCTION NO. 6**

In the previous instruction, I instructed you generally on the credibility of witnesses. I now give you this further instruction on how the credibility of a witness can be “impeached” and how you are to consider the testimony of certain witnesses.

A witness may be discredited or impeached by contradictory evidence; by showing that the witness testified falsely concerning a material matter; by showing that the witness has a motive to be untruthful; or by evidence that at some other time the witness has said or done something, or has failed to say or do something, that is inconsistent with the witness’ present testimony.

You may hear evidence that some witnesses were once convicted of crimes. If so, you may use that evidence only to help you decide whether you believe those witnesses and how much weight to give their testimony.

You may hear evidence from certain witnesses who state that they participated in a crime or crimes charged against the defendant. If so, their testimony will be received in evidence and may be considered by you. You may give their testimony such weight as you think it deserves. Whether their testimony may be influenced by their desire to please the government or to strike a good bargain with the government with respect to their own situation will be for you to determine.

You may hear evidence that certain witnesses made agreements with the government. If so, their testimony may be received in evidence and may be considered by you. You may give their testimony such weight as you think it deserves. Whether their testimony may be influenced by the plea agreement will be for you to determine. A witness’ guilty plea cannot be considered by you as any evidence of the defendant’s guilt. A witness’ guilty plea can be considered by you only for the purpose of determining how much to rely upon the witness’ testimony, if you choose to rely on it at all.

**(CONTINUED)**

### **INSTRUCTION NO. 6 (Cont'd)**

You may hear evidence that certain witnesses hope to receive a reduced sentence on criminal charges pending against them in return for their cooperation with the government in this case. If the prosecutor handling a witness' case believes that the witness provided substantial assistance, that prosecutor can file a motion to reduce the witness' sentence in the court where the witness' charges are pending. The judge has no power to reduce a sentence for substantial assistance unless the government, acting through the United States Attorney, files such a motion. If such a motion for reduction of sentence for substantial assistance is filed by the government, then it is up to the judge to decide whether to reduce the sentence at all, and if so, how much to reduce it. You may give the testimony of these witnesses such weight as you think it deserves. Whether their testimony may be influenced by their hopes of receiving a reduced sentence will be for you to determine.

## **INSTRUCTION NO. 7**

You may hear testimony from persons who, by knowledge, skill, training, education, or experience, have become expert in some field. They are permitted to give their opinions on matters in that field and may also state the reasons for their opinions.

Such testimony should be considered just like any other testimony. You may accept or reject the testimony of these witnesses just like any other testimony. After considering such a witness' education and experience, the reasons given for the opinion, and all the other evidence in the case, you may give that witness' testimony whatever weight, if any, you think it deserves.

## INSTRUCTION NO. 8

You may hear testimony that the defendant made a statement to law enforcement.

It is for you to decide:

*First*, whether the defendant made the statement, and

*Second*, if so, how much weight you should give to it.

In making these two decisions, you should consider all of the evidence, including the circumstances under which they statement may have been made.

### **INSTRUCTION NO. 9**

Exhibits will be admitted into evidence and are to be considered along with all of the other evidence to assist you in reaching your verdicts. During your deliberations, you are not to tamper with the exhibits or their contents, and you should leave the exhibits in the jury room in the same condition as they were received by you.

## **INSTRUCTION NO. 10**

Reasonable doubt is doubt based upon reason and common sense, and not doubt based on speculation. A reasonable doubt may arise from careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence, or from a lack of evidence. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof of such a convincing character that a reasonable person, after careful consideration, would not hesitate to rely and act upon that proof in life's most important decisions. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof that leaves you firmly convinced of the defendant's guilt. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt.



## INSTRUCTION NO. 11

The crime of interference with commerce by means of robbery, as charged in Counts 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 12 of the indictment each has four elements, which are:

*One*, on or about the date alleged in the particular count, in the Northern District of Iowa, the defendant knowingly robbed a business as identified in the particular count.

Count 1: November 17, 2020, Subway restaurant, located at 555 Gateway Place SW, Cedar Rapids, Iowa;

Count 3: November 19, 2020, Hy-Vee grocery, located at 3235 Oakland Road NE, Cedar Rapids, Iowa;

Count 5: November 25, 2020, Perkins restaurant, located at 3310 Southgate Court SW, Cedar Rapids, Iowa;

Count 7: November 27, 2020, Hy-vee grocery, located at 4035 Mount Vernon Road SE, Cedar Rapids, Iowa;

Count 9: November 27, 2020, Little Caesar's Pizza, located at 3404 Mount Vernon Road SE, Cedar Rapids, Iowa;

Count 12: November 29, 2020, Subway restaurant, located at 555 Gateway Place SW, Cedar Rapids, Iowa;

*Two*, the robbery involved United States currency;

*Three*, the United States currency was in the custody or possession of an employee of the respective business as identified in the particular count; and

*Four*, the defendant's actions obstructed, delayed, or affected commerce in some way or degree.

For you to find the defendant guilty of any of these crimes, the government must prove all these elements as to a particular count beyond a reasonable doubt; otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime charged in that particular count of the indictment.

**(CONTINUED)**

### **INSTRUCTION NO. 11 (Cont'd)**

“Robbery” means the unlawful taking or obtaining of personal property from the person or in the presence of another, against the person’s will. The unlawful taking or obtaining must occur by means of actual force, threatened force, violence, or fear of injury, whether immediately or in the future, to the person’s body or property.

The term “commerce” includes, among other things, travel, trade, transportation, and communication. And, it also means (1) all commerce between any point in one State and any point outside of that State, and (2) all commerce between points within the same State through any place outside of that State.

The phrase “obstructed, delayed, or affected commerce” in element four means any action which, in any manner or to any degree interferes with, changes, or alters the movement or transportation or flow of goods, merchandise, money, or other property in commerce.

In considering the fourth element, you must decide whether there is an actual effect on commerce or a probable or potential effect. If you decide that there was any effect at all on commerce, or a probable or potential effect at all on commerce, then that is enough to satisfy this element. The effect can be minimal or probable or potential. Such effect can be proved by one or more of the following: depletion of the assets of a business operating in commerce, robbery of a business covered by an out-of-state insurer, the temporary closing of a business to recover from the robbery, loss of sales of an out-of-state commercial product, or business slowdown as a result of the robbery.

It is not necessary for the government to show that the defendant actually intended or anticipated an effect on commerce. All that is necessary is that commerce was affected or would probably or potentially be affected as a natural and probable consequence of the defendant’s actions.

## INSTRUCTION NO. 12

The crime of using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to, and possession of a firearm in furtherance of, a crime of violence as charged in Counts 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 13 of the Indictment, each has two elements, which are:

*One*, the defendant committed the crime of interference with commerce by means of robbery as charged in the particular count of the indictment.

For Count 2, the underlying robbery is charged in Count 1;

For Count 4, the underlying robbery is charged in Count 3;

For Count 6, the underlying robbery is charged in Count 5;

For Count 8, the underlying robbery is charged in Count 7;

For Count 10, the underlying robbery is charged in Count 9;

For Count 13, the underlying robbery is charged in Count 12;

and

*Two*, the defendant knowingly (1) used and/or carried a firearm during and in relation to that crime and/or (2) possessed a firearm in furtherance of that crime.

For you to find the defendant guilty of any of these crimes, the government must prove all these elements beyond a reasonable doubt as to a particular count; otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime charged in that particular count of the indictment.

The phrase “used a firearm” means that the firearm was actively employed in the course of the commission of the robbery. You may find that a firearm was used during the commission of the crime of interference with commerce by means of robbery if you find that it was brandished, displayed, or the defendant made references to a firearm that was in the defendant’s possession.

You may find that a firearm was “carried” during the commission of the crime of interference with commerce by means of robbery if you find that the defendant had a

**(CONTINUED)**

**INSTRUCTION NO. 12 (Cont'd)**

firearm on his person. The phrase “in furtherance of” means furthering, advancing, or helping forward. This means the government must prove that the defendant possessed the firearm with the intent that it advance, assist or help commit the crime, but the government need not prove that the firearm actually did so.

For the crime of using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to, and possession of a firearm in furtherance of, a crime of violence as charged in Counts 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 13 of the Indictment, if you find both elements of the crime, you must also determine whether the defendant brandished a firearm.

The term “brandish” means, with respect to a firearm, to display all or part of the firearm, or otherwise make the presence of the firearm known to another person, in order to intimidate that person, regardless of whether the firearm is directly visible to that person.

### INSTRUCTION NO. 13

The crime of attempt to interfere with commerce by means of robbery, as charged in Count 11 of the Indictment, has four elements, which are:

*One*, on or about November 28, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, the defendant knowingly attempted to rob Hy-Vee Drugstore, located at 1520 6th Street SW, Cedar Rapids, Iowa;

*Two*, the robbery involved United States currency;

*Three*, the United States currency was in the custody or possession of an employee of Hy-Vee Drugstore; and

*Four*, the defendant's actions obstructed, delayed, or affected commerce in some way or degree or had a probable or potential effect at all on commerce.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the government must prove all these elements beyond a reasonable doubt; otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime charged in Count 11 of the Indictment.

For the definitions of the terms "robbery," "commerce," and "obstructed, delayed or affected commerce," refer to Instruction No. 11.

## INSTRUCTION NO. 14

The crime of being a felon in possession of a firearm, as charged in Count 14 of the Indictment, has three elements, which are:

*One*, on or about November 19, 2020, in the Northern District of Iowa, defendant knowingly possessed a firearm, a Smith & Wesson Bodyguard 380, .380 caliber pistol;

*Two*, at the time defendant possessed the firearm, defendant was prohibited from possessing a firearm because defendant had previously been, and knew he had previously been, convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year; and

*Three*, the firearm was transported across a state line at some time during or before the defendant's possession of it.

You are instructed that the government and the defendant have agreed that as of November 19, 2020, defendant had been, and knew he had been, previously convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year; thus, you must consider that fact as proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the government must prove all these elements beyond a reasonable doubt; otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime charged in Count 14 of the Indictment.

## **INSTRUCTION NO. 15**

The law recognizes several kinds of possession. A person may have actual possession or constructive possession. A person may have sole possession or joint possession.

A person who knowingly has direct physical control over a thing, at a given time, is then in actual possession of it.

A person who, although not in actual possession, has both the power and the intention at a given time to exercise dominion or control over a thing, is then in constructive possession of it. A person may constructively possess something by having dominion or control over the thing or the place where the thing is located. To find a person constructively possessed a thing, you must find that person knew the thing was present, had the ability to control it, and had the intent to do so. A person may constructively possess something either directly or through another person or persons.

If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is sole. If two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is joint.

Whenever the word “possession” or “possess” have been used in these instructions, it includes actual as well as constructive possession and also sole as well as joint possession.

### **INSTRUCTION NO. 16**

The government is not required to prove that the defendant knew that his acts or omissions were unlawful. An act is done knowingly if the defendant is aware of the act and does not act through ignorance, mistake or accident.

Knowledge may be proved like anything else. You may consider any acts done or statements made by the defendant in connection with the offense, and all the facts and circumstances in evidence which may aid in a determination of the defendant's knowledge.



## **INSTRUCTION NO. 17**

Intent may be proven by circumstantial evidence. It rarely can be established by other means. Although witnesses may see or hear and thus be able to give direct evidence of what a person does or fails to do, there can be no eyewitness account of the state of mind with which the acts were done or omitted. But what a defendant does or fails to do may indicate intent or lack of intent to commit an offense.

You may consider it reasonable to draw the inference and find that a person intends the natural and probable consequences of acts knowingly done, but you are not required to do so. As I have said, it is entirely up to you to decide what facts to find from the evidence.

## **INSTRUCTION NO. 18**

You will note that the Indictment charges that the offense was committed “on or about” or “between about” certain dates. The government need not prove with certainty the exact date or the exact time period of the offense charged. It is sufficient if the evidence establishes that the offense occurred within a reasonable time of the date or period of time alleged in the Indictment.

## **INSTRUCTION NO. 19**

At the end of the trial, you must make your decisions based on what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a written transcript to consult. Therefore, you must pay close attention to the testimony as it is given.

If you wish, you may take notes during the presentation of evidence to help you remember what witnesses said. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. Do not let note-taking distract you so that you do not hear other answers by the witnesses.

During deliberations, in any conflict between your notes, a fellow juror's notes, and your memory, your memory must prevail. Remember that notes sometimes contain the mental impressions of the note taker and can be used only to help you recollect what the testimony was.

We have given each juror an envelope with a pad and pen in it. The envelopes are numbered according to your seat in the jury box. When you leave for breaks or at night, please put your pad and pen in the envelope and leave the envelope on your chair. Your notes will be secured, and they will not be read by anyone. At the end of trial and your deliberations, your notes should be left in the jury room for destruction.

## **INSTRUCTION NO. 20**

During the trial, it may be necessary for me to talk with the lawyers out of the hearing of the jury, either by having a bench conference while the jury is present in the courtroom or by calling a recess. If a bench conference is held in the courtroom, we will switch on what we refer to as “white noise” so that the jurors cannot hear what is being said by the lawyers and me. While bench conferences are being conducted, you should feel free to stand and stretch and visit among yourselves about anything except the case.

## INSTRUCTION NO. 21

During the course of the trial, to ensure fairness, you as jurors must obey the following rules.

*First*, do not talk among yourselves about this case, or about anyone involved with it, until the end of the case when you go to the jury room to decide on your verdicts.

*Second*, do not talk with anyone else about this case, or about anyone involved with it, until the trial has ended and you have been discharged as jurors.

*Third*, do not use any electronic device or media, such as the telephone, a cell or smart phone, Blackberry, PDA, computer, the Internet, any Internet service, any text or instant messaging service, any Internet chat room, blog, or website such as Facebook, YouTube, or Twitter, to communicate to anyone any information about this case, or your opinions concerning it, until the trial has ended and you have been discharged as jurors.

*Fourth*, when you are outside the courtroom, do not let anyone tell you anything about the case, or about anyone involved with it, until the trial has ended and your verdicts have been accepted by me. If someone should try to talk with you about the case during the trial, please report it to me through the Court Security Officer.

*Fifth*, during the trial, you should not talk with or speak to any of the parties, lawyers or witnesses involved in this case—you should not even pass the time of day with any of them. It is important not only that you do justice in this case, but that you also give the appearance of doing justice. If a person from one side of the case sees you talking to a person from the other side—even if it is simply to pass the time of day—an unwarranted and unnecessary suspicion about your fairness might be aroused. If any

**(CONTINUED)**

### INSTRUCTION NO. 21 (Cont'd)

lawyer, party, or witness does not speak to you when you pass in the hall or the like, it is because they are not supposed to talk or visit with you.

*Sixth*, do not read any news stories or articles about the case, or about anyone involved with it, or listen to any radio or television reports about the case, or about anyone involved with it. In fact, until the trial is over, I suggest that you avoid reading any newspapers or news journals at all, and avoid listening to any TV or radio newscasts at all.

I do not know whether there might be any news reports of this case, but, if there are, you might inadvertently find yourself reading or listening to something before you could do anything about it. If you want, you can have your spouse or a friend clip out any stories and set them aside to give you after the trial is over. I can assure you, however, that by the time you have heard the evidence in this case, you will know more about the matter than anyone will learn through the news media.

*Seventh*, do not do any research or make any investigation about the case on your own. Do not consult any reference materials such as the Internet, books, magazines, dictionaries, or encyclopedias. Do not contact anyone to ask them questions about issues that may arise in this case. Remember, you are not permitted to talk to anyone (except your fellow jurors) about this case or anyone involved with it until the trial has ended and I have discharged you as jurors.

*Eighth*, do not make up your mind during the trial about what the verdicts should be. Keep an open mind until after you have gone to the jury room to decide the case and you and your fellow jurors have discussed the evidence.

## INSTRUCTION NO. 22

The trial will proceed in the following manner:

First, the attorney for the government will make an opening statement. Next, the attorney for the defendant may, but does not have to, make an opening statement. An opening statement is not evidence, but is simply a summary of what the attorneys expect the evidence to be.

The government will then present its evidence, and the attorney for the defendant may cross-examine the government's witnesses. Following the government's case, the defendant may, but does not have to, present evidence, testify, or call other witnesses. If the defendant calls witnesses, the attorney for the government may cross-examine them.

After the presentation of evidence is completed, the attorneys will make their closing arguments to summarize and interpret the evidence for you. As with opening statements, closing arguments are not evidence. After that, the court will instruct you further regarding your deliberations, and you will retire to deliberate on your verdicts.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2023.

---

C.J. WILLIAMS  
United States District Judge  
Northern District of Iowa

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**FINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS**

---

Members of the Jury:

The instructions I gave you at the beginning of the trial and during the trials remain in effect. I will now give you some additional instructions before you begin your deliberations.

You must, of course, continue to follow the instructions I gave you earlier, as well as those I give you now. You must not single out some instructions and ignore others, because all are important. This is true even though the instructions I gave you at the beginning of and during trial are not repeated here.



### **INSTRUCTION NO. 23**

The government and the defendant have stipulated—that is, they have agreed—that certain facts are as counsel have just stated. You must therefore treat those facts as having been proved.

## INSTRUCTION NO. 24

In conducting your deliberations and returning your verdicts, there are certain rules you must follow. I will list those rules for you now.

*First*, when you go to the jury room, you must select one of your members as your foreperson. That person will preside over your discussions and speak for you here in court.

*Second*, it is your duty, as jurors, to discuss this case with one another in the jury room. You should try to reach an agreement if you can do so without giving up your individual judgment, because your verdicts—whether guilty or not guilty—must be unanimous. Each of you must make your own conscientious decisions, but only after you have considered all the evidence, discussed it fully with your fellow jurors and listened to the views of your fellow jurors. Do not be afraid to change your opinions if your discussion persuades you that you should. But do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right or simply to reach your verdicts.

*Third*, if you find the defendant guilty, the sentence to be imposed is my responsibility. You may not consider punishment in any way when deciding whether the government has proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

*Fourth*, if you need to communicate with me during your deliberations, you may send a note to me through the Court Security Officer, signed by one or more jurors. I will respond as soon as possible either in writing or orally in open court. You should not tell anyone—including me—how your votes stand numerically.

*Fifth*, your verdicts must be based solely on the evidence and on the law that I have given to you in my instructions. Each verdict, whether guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous. Nothing I have said or done is intended to suggest what your verdicts should be—that will be entirely for you to decide.

## INSTRUCTION NO. 25

Attached to these instructions you will find the Verdict Forms and Interrogatory Forms. The Verdict Forms and Interrogatory Forms are simply the written notices of the decisions that you reach in this case. The answers to the Verdict Forms and Interrogatory Forms must be the unanimous decisions of the Jury.

You will take the Verdict Forms and Interrogatory Forms to the jury room, and when you have completed your deliberations and each of you has agreed to the answers to the Verdict Forms and Interrogatory Forms, your foreperson will fill out the Verdict Forms and Interrogatory Forms, sign and date them, and advise the Court Security Officer that you are ready to return to the courtroom. Your foreperson should place the signed Verdict Forms and Interrogatory Forms in the blue folder, which the Court will provide you, and then your foreperson will bring the blue folder when returning to the courtroom.

Finally, members of the Jury, take this case and give it your most careful consideration, and then without fear or favor, prejudice or bias of any kind, return the Verdict Forms and Interrogatory Forms in accord with the evidence and these instructions.

Dated this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2023.

---

C.J. WILLIAMS  
United States District Judge  
Northern District of Iowa

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**VERDICT FORM FOR COUNT 1**

---

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND, \_\_\_\_\_ [Guilty/Not Guilty] of the crime of interference with commerce by robbery, as charged in Count 1.

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of Count 1, have your foreperson write “not guilty” in the above blank space, and sign and date this Verdict Form. If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find the defendant guilty of Count 1, have your foreperson write “guilty” in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form.

---

FOREPERSON

---

DATE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**VERDICT FORM FOR COUNT 2**

---

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND, \_\_\_\_\_ [Guilty/Not Guilty] of the crime of using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to, and possession of a firearm in furtherance of, a crime of violence, as charged in Count 2.

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of Count 2, have your foreperson write “not guilty” in the above blank space, and sign and date this Verdict Form. If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find the defendant guilty of Count 2, have your foreperson write “guilty” in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form. Then, go on to answer the Interrogatory Form for Count 2.

---

FOREPERSON

---

DATE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**INTERROGATORY FOR COUNT 2**

---

If you found the defendant guilty of the crime charged in Count 2 of the Indictment, please answer the following questions, then have your foreperson sign and date this Interrogatory Form.

If you found the defendant not guilty of the crime charged in Count 2 of the Indictment, do not answer the following questions contained in this Interrogatory Form.

**Interrogatory Question One:**

We, the Jury, unanimously find that the defendant:

\_\_\_\_\_ used or carried a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence.

\_\_\_\_\_ possessed a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence.

**Interrogatory Question Two:**

We, the Jury, unanimously find that the defendant \_\_\_\_\_ [Did/Did Not] brandish a firearm.

---

FOREPERSON

---

DATE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**VERDICT FORM FOR COUNT 3**

---

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND, \_\_\_\_\_ [Guilty/Not Guilty] of the crime of interference with commerce by robbery, as charged in Count 3.

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of Count 3, have your foreperson write “not guilty” in the above blank space, and sign and date this Verdict Form. If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find the defendant guilty of Count 3, have your foreperson write “guilty” in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form.

---

FOREPERSON

---

DATE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**VERDICT FORM FOR COUNT 4**

---

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND, \_\_\_\_\_ [Guilty/Not Guilty] of the crime of using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to, and possession of a firearm in furtherance of, a crime of violence, as charged in Count 4.

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of Count 4, have your foreperson write “not guilty” in the above blank space, and sign and date this Verdict Form. If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find the defendant guilty of Count 4, have your foreperson write “guilty” in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form. Then, go on to answer the Interrogatory Form for Count 4.

---

FOREPERSON

---

DATE





**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**VERDICT FORM FOR COUNT 5**

---

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND, \_\_\_\_\_ [Guilty/Not Guilty] of the crime of interference with commerce by robbery, as charged in Count 5.

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of Count 5, have your foreperson write “not guilty” in the above blank space, and sign and date this Verdict Form. If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find the defendant guilty of Count 5, have your foreperson write “guilty” in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form.

---

FOREPERSON

---

DATE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**VERDICT FORM FOR COUNT 6**

---

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND, \_\_\_\_\_ [Guilty/Not Guilty] of the crime of using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to, and possession of a firearm in furtherance of, a crime of violence, as charged in Count 6.

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of Count 6, have your foreperson write “not guilty” in the above blank space, and sign and date this Verdict Form. If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find the defendant guilty of Count 6, have your foreperson write “guilty” in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form. Then, go on to answer the Interrogatory Form for Count 6.

---

FOREPERSON

---

DATE



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**VERDICT FORM FOR COUNT 7**

---

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND, \_\_\_\_\_ [Guilty/Not Guilty] of the crime of interference with commerce by robbery, as charged in Count 7.

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of Count 7, have your foreperson write “not guilty” in the above blank space, and sign and date this Verdict Form. If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find the defendant guilty of Count 7, have your foreperson write “guilty” in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form.

---

FOREPERSON

---

DATE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**VERDICT FORM FOR COUNT 8**

---

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND, \_\_\_\_\_ [Guilty/Not Guilty] of the crime of using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to, and possession of a firearm in furtherance of, a crime of violence, as charged in Count 8.

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of Count 8, have your foreperson write “not guilty” in the above blank space, and sign and date this Verdict Form. If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find the defendant guilty of Count 8, have your foreperson write “guilty” in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form. Then, go on to answer the Interrogatory Form for Count 8.

---

FOREPERSON

---

DATE



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**VERDICT FORM FOR COUNT 9**

---

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND, \_\_\_\_\_ [Guilty/Not Guilty] of the crime of interference with commerce by robbery, as charged in Count 9.

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of Count 9, have your foreperson write “not guilty” in the above blank space, and sign and date this Verdict Form. If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find the defendant guilty of Count 9, have your foreperson write “guilty” in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form.

---

FOREPERSON

---

DATE



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**VERDICT FORM FOR COUNT 10**

---

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND, \_\_\_\_\_ [Guilty/Not Guilty] of the crime of using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to, and possession of a firearm in furtherance of, a crime of violence, as charged in Count 10.

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of Count 10, have your foreperson write “not guilty” in the above blank space, and sign and date this Verdict Form. If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find the defendant guilty of Count 10, have your foreperson write “guilty” in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form. Then, go on to answer the Interrogatory Form for Count 10.

---

FOREPERSON

---

DATE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**INTERROGATORY FORM FOR  
COUNT 10**

If you found the defendant guilty of the crime charged in Count 10 of the Indictment, please answer the following questions, then have your foreperson sign and date this Interrogatory Form.

If you found the defendant not guilty of the crime charged in Count 10 of the Indictment, do not answer the following questions contained in this Interrogatory Form.

**Interrogatory Question One:**

We, the Jury, unanimously find that the defendant:

\_\_\_\_\_ used or carried a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence.

\_\_\_\_\_ possessed a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence.

**Interrogatory Question Two:**

We, the Jury, unanimously find that the defendant \_\_\_\_\_ [Did/Did Not] brandish a firearm.

\_\_\_\_\_  
FOREPERSON

\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**VERDICT FORM FOR COUNT 11**

---

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND, \_\_\_\_\_ [Guilty/Not Guilty] of the crime of attempt to interfere with commerce by robbery, as charged in Count 11.

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of Count 11, have your foreperson write “not guilty” in the above blank space, and sign and date this Verdict Form. If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find the defendant guilty of Count 11, have your foreperson write “guilty” in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form.

---

FOREPERSON

---

DATE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**VERDICT FORM FOR COUNT 12**

---

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND, \_\_\_\_\_ [Guilty/Not Guilty] of the crime of interference with commerce by robbery, as charged in Count 12.

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of Count 12, have your foreperson write “not guilty” in the above blank space, and sign and date this Verdict Form. If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find the defendant guilty of Count 12, have your foreperson write “guilty” in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form.

---

FOREPERSON

---

DATE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**VERDICT FORM FOR COUNT 13**

---

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND, \_\_\_\_\_ [Guilty/Not Guilty] of the crime of using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to, and possession of a firearm in furtherance of, a crime of violence, as charged in Count 13.

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of Count 13, have your foreperson write “not guilty” in the above blank space, and sign and date this Verdict Form. If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find the defendant guilty of Count 13, have your foreperson write “guilty” in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form. Then, go on to answer the Interrogatory Form for Count 13.

---

FOREPERSON

---

DATE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**INTERROGATORY FORM FOR  
COUNT 13**

If you found the defendant guilty of the crime charged in Count 13 of the Indictment, please answer the following questions, then have your foreperson sign and date this Interrogatory Form.

If you found the defendant not guilty of the crime charged in Count 13 of the Indictment, do not answer the following questions contained in this Interrogatory Form.

**Interrogatory Question One:**

We, the Jury, unanimously find that the defendant:

\_\_\_\_\_ used or carried a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence.

\_\_\_\_\_ possessed a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence.

**Interrogatory Question Two:**

We, the Jury, unanimously find that the defendant \_\_\_\_\_ [Did/Did Not] brandish a firearm.

\_\_\_\_\_  
FOREPERSON

\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND,

Defendant.

No. 22-CR-85-CJW-MAR

**VERDICT FORM FOR COUNT 14**

---

We, the Jury, unanimously find the defendant, CARDEL DEMETRIUS REDMOND, \_\_\_\_\_ [Guilty/Not Guilty] of the crime of possession of a firearm by a felon, as charged in Count 14.

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of Count 14, have your foreperson write “not guilty” in the above blank space, and sign and date this Verdict Form. If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt find the defendant guilty of Count 14, have your foreperson write “guilty” in the above blank space and sign and date this Verdict Form.

---

FOREPERSON

---

DATE